

References

- Adams, G. L., & Engelmann, S. (1996). *Research on direct instruction: 20 years beyond DISTAR*. Seattle, WA: Educational Achievement Systems.
- Almasi, J. F. (1994). The nature of fourth graders' sociocognitive conflicts in peer-led and teacher-led discussions of literature. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 3(3), 314–351.
- Anderson, R. C., Wilson, P. T., & Fielding, L. G. (1988). Growth in reading and how children spend their time outside school. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 23, 285–303.
- Applegate, K. (2011). *The one and only Ivan*. New York, NY: HarperCollins.
- Babbitt, N. (2007). *Jack Plank tells tales*. New York, NY: Scholastic.
- Bahekar, A. A., Singh, S., Saha, S., Molnar, J., & Arora, R. (2007). The prevalence and incidence of coronary heart disease is significantly increased in periodontitis: A meta-analysis. *American Heart Journal*, 154(5), 830–837.
- Baker, S., Simmons, D., & Kame'enui, E. (1998). *Vocabulary acquisition: Synthesis of the research*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement, Educational Resources Information Center.
- Bear, D. R., Invernizzi, M., Templeton, S., & Johnston, F. (2011). *Words their way: Word study for phonics, vocabulary, and spelling instruction* (5th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., & Kucan, L. (2013). *Bringing words to life: Robust vocabulary instruction* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Bereiter, C. (2002). *Education and mind in the knowledge age*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (1982). From conversation to composition: The role of instruction in a developmental process. In R. Glaser (Ed.), *Advances in instructional psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 1–64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Berkeley, S., Marshak, L., Mastropieri, M. A., & Scruggs, T. E. (2011). Improving student comprehension of social studies text: A self-questioning strategy for inclusive middle school classes. *Remedial & Special Education*, 32(2), 105–113.
- Biemiller, A. (2005). Size and sequence in vocabulary development: Implications for choosing words for primary grade vocabulary instruction. In E. H. Hiebert & M. L. Kamil (Eds.), *Teaching and learning vocabulary: Bringing research to practice* (pp. 223–242). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Biggs, J. (1999). *Teaching for quality learning at university*. Buckingham, UK: Society for Research Into Higher Education and Open University Press.
- Bintz, W. P., & Williams, L. (2005). Questioning techniques of fifth and sixth grade reading teachers. *Middle School Journal*, 37(1), 45–52.
- Bogard, J., & McMackin, M. (2012). Combining traditional and new literacies in a 21st-century writing workshop. *Reading Teacher*, 65(5), 313–323.
- Bradbury, R. (1953). *Fahrenheit 451*. New York, NY: Ballantine Books.
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. Committee on Developments in the Science of Learning and Committee on Learning Research and Educational Practice. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Brookhart, S. M. (2008). *How to give effective feedback to your students*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Brown, M. W. (1947). *Goodnight moon*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Burek, D., & Losos, C. (2014). Debate: Where speaking and listening come first. *Voices From the Middle*, 22(1), 49–57.
- Campbell, J. (1949). *The hero with a thousand faces*. New York, NY: Pantheon Books.
- Cazden, C. B. (1988). *Classroom discourse: The language of teaching and learning*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Chesky, J., & Hiebert, E. H. (1987). The effects of prior knowledge and audience on high school students' writing. *Journal of Educational Research*, 80, 304–313.
- Chesterton, G. K. (2004, March 7). The fallacy of success. In *All things considered*. The Project Gutenberg. Retrieved from <http://www.gutenberg.org/files/11505/11505-h/11505-h.htm> (Original work published 1915)
- Clay, M. M. (1979). *Preventing reading difficulties in young children*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Clay, M. M. (2002). *An observation survey of early literacy achievement* (2nd ed.). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Collins, J. (2001). *Good to great: Why some companies make the leap . . . And others don't*. New York, NY: HarperBusiness.
- CORE. (1999). *CORE assessing reading: Multiple measures for kindergarten through eighth grade*. Novato, CA: Arena.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1942). An analysis of techniques for systematic vocabulary testing. *Journal of Educational Research*, 36, 206–217.
- Culham, R. (2010). *Traits of writing: The complete guide for middle school*. New York, NY: Scholastic.
- Daywalt, D. (2013). *The day the crayons quit*. New York, NY: Philomel Books.
- Dehaene, S. (2009). *Reading in the brain: The science and evolution of a human invention*. New York, NY: Viking.
- Deno, S. (1987). Curriculum-based measurement: An introduction. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 20, 41.

- Dolch, E. W. (1948). *Problems in reading*. Champaign, IL: Garrard.
- Durkin, D. (1978/1979). What classroom observations reveal about reading comprehension instruction. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 15, 481–533.
- Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. New York, NY: Random House.
- Education Trust. (2015). *Checking in: Do classroom assignments reflect today's higher standards? Equity in motion*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Fearn, L., & Farnan, N. (2001). *Interactions: Teaching writing and the language arts*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Ferguson, L. E., Bråten, I. I., & Strømsø, H. I. (2012). Epistemic cognition when students read multiple documents containing conflicting scientific evidence: A think-aloud study. *Learning & Instruction*, 22(2), 103–120.
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2009). *Background knowledge: The missing piece of the comprehension puzzle*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2010). *Enhancing RTI: How to ensure success with effective classroom instruction and intervention*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2012). Close reading in elementary school. *The Reading Teacher*, 66(3), 179–188.
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2014). Close reading as an intervention for struggling middle school readers. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 57(5), 367–376.
- Fisher, D., Frey, N., Anderson, H., & Thayre, M. (2015). *Text-dependent questions: Pathways to close and critical reading, grades 6–12*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Fisher, D., Frey, N., & Gonzalez, A. (2010). *Literacy 2.0: Reading and writing in the 21st century*. Bloomington, IN: Solution Tree.
- Flanagan, S., & Bouck, E. C. (2015). Mapping out the details: Supporting struggling writers' written expression with concept mapping. *Preventing School Failure*, 59(4), 244–252.
- Flavell, J. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive-developmental inquiry. *American Psychologist*, 34, 906–911.
- Flood, J., Lapp, D., & Fisher, D. (2005). Neurological impress method plus. *Reading Psychology*, 26, 147–160.
- Frayer, D. A., Frederick, W. C., & Klausmeier, H. J. (1969). *A schema for testing the level of concept mastery (Working paper No. 16)*. Madison: Wisconsin Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning.
- Frey, N. (2005). Retention, social promotion, & academic redshirting: What do we know and need to know? *Remedial and Special Education*, 26(6), 332–346.
- Frey, N., & Fisher, D. (2009). *Learning words inside and out: Vocabulary instruction that boosts achievement in all subject areas*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Frey, N., & Fisher, D. (2013a). A formative assessment system for writing improvement. *English Journal*, 103(1), 66–71.
- Frey, N., & Fisher, D. (2013b). *Rigorous reading: Five access points for helping students comprehend complex texts, K–12*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

- Fuchs, D., Fuchs, L. S., Mathes, P. H., & Simmons, D. C. (1997). Peer-assisted learning strategies: Making classrooms more responsive to diversity. *American Educational Research Journal*, 34(1), 174–206.
- Ganske, K. (2000). *Word journeys: Assessment-guided phonics, spelling, and vocabulary instruction*. New York, NY: Guilford.
- Ginsburg-Block, M. D., Rohrbeck, C. A., & Fantuzzo, J. W. (2006). A meta-analytic review of social, self-concept, and behavioral outcomes of peer-assisted learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98(4), 732–749.
- Goddard, R. D., Hoy, W. K., & Hoy, A. W. (2000). Collective teacher efficacy: Its meaning, measure, and impact on student achievement. *American Educational Research Journal*, 37, 479–507.
- Goodman, Y. M., & Burke, C. L. (1972). *Reading miscue inventory manual: Procedure for diagnosis and evaluation*. New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Graham, S., Berninger, V., & Fan, W. (2007). The structural relationship between writing attitude and writing achievement in first and third grade students. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 32(3), 516–536.
- Graham, S., & Perin, D. (2007). *Writing next: Effective strategies to improve writing of adolescents in middle and high schools*. New York, NY: Carnegie Corporation of New York.
- Graves, M. F. (1986). Vocabulary learning and instruction. *Review of Educational Research*, 13, 49–89.
- Graves, M. F. (2006). *The vocabulary book: Learning and instruction*. New York, NY: Teachers College.
- Guthrie, J., & Klauda, S. (2014). Effects of classroom practices on reading comprehension, engagement, and motivations for adolescents. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 49(4), 387–416.
- Guthrie, J. T., & Wigfield, A. (2000). Engagement and motivation in reading. In M. L. Kamil, P. B. Mosenthal, P. D. Pearson, & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. III, pp. 403–424). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Hasbrouck, J., & Tindal, G. A. (2006). Oral reading fluency norms: A valuable assessment tool for reading teachers. *Reading Teacher*, 59(7), 636–644.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Hattie, J. (2012). *Visible learning for teachers: Maximizing impact on learning*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Hattie, J. (2014). *The role of learning strategies in today's classrooms*. 34th Vernon Wall Lecture. Retrieved from <http://shop.bps.org.uk/publications/publication-by-series/vernon-wall-lecture/34th-vernon-wall-lecture-2014-john-a-c-hattie.html>
- Hattie, J. (2015). The applicability of visible learning to higher education. *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology*, 1(1), 79–91.
- Hattie, J. (n.d.). *Visible Learning, that make the difference in education* [PowerPoint presentation]. Visible Learning Laboratories, University of Auckland,

- New Zealand. Retrieved from <http://docplayer.net/4137828-Visible-learning-that-make-the-difference-in-education-john-hattie-visible-learning-laboratories-university-of-auckland.html>
- Hattie, J., & Yates, G. (2014). *Visible learning and the science of how we learn*. Oxson, UK: Routledge.
- Heckelman, R. G. (1969). A neurological-impress method of remedial-reading instruction. *Academic Therapy*, 4, 277–282.
- Henderson, L. L., Weighall, A., & Gaskell, G. (2013). Learning new vocabulary during childhood: Effects of semantic training on lexical consolidation and integration. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 116(3), 572–592.
- Henk, W. A., & Selders, M. L. (1984). Test of synonymic scoring of cloze passages. *The Reading Teacher*, 38, 282–287.
- Hoy, W. K., Sweetland, S. R., & Smith, P. A. (2002). Toward an organizational model of achievement in high schools: The significance of collective efficacy. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 38(1), 77–93.
- Hudson, R. F., Lane, H. B., & Pullen, P. C. (2005). Reading fluency assessment and instruction: What, why, and how? *The Reading Teacher*, 58, 702–714.
- Hyland, K., & Hyland, F. (Eds.). (2006). *Feedback in second language writing: Contexts and issues*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Israel, E. (2002). Examining multiple perspectives in literature. In J. Holden & J. S. Schmit (Eds.), *Inquiry and the literary text: Constructing discussions in the English classroom* (pp. 89–103). Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Jacobson, J., Thrope, L., Fisher, D., Lapp, D., Frey, N., & Flood, J. (2001). Cross-age tutoring: A literacy improvement approach for struggling adolescent readers. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 44(6), 528–536.
- Jenkins, S. (2003). *What do you do with a tail like this?* Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Jerald, C. D. (2007). *Believing and achieving (Issue brief)*. Washington, DC: Center for Comprehensive School Reform and Improvement.
- Jimerson, S. R. (2001). Meta-analysis of grade retention research: Implications for practice in the 21st century. *School Psychology Review*, 30(3), 420–437.
- Johnson, P., & Keier, D. (2011). *Catching readers before they fall*. York, ME: Stenhouse.
- Johnson, E., Mellard, D. F., Fuchs, D., & McKnight, M. A. (2006). *Responsiveness to intervention (RTI): How to do it*. Lawrence, KS: National Research Center on Learning Disabilities.
- Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking fast, thinking slow*. New York, NY: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Kear, D. J., Coffman, G. A., McKenna, M. C., & Ambrosio, A. L. (2000). Measuring attitude toward writing: A new tool for teachers. *The Reading Teacher*, 54, 10–23.
- Knudson, R. E. (1991). Development and use of a writing attitude survey in grades 4 and 8. *Psychological Reports*, 68, 807–816.

- Kuhn, D. (2000). Metacognitive development. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 9(5), 178–181.
- Kush, J. C., & Watkins, M. W. (1996). Long-term stability of children's attitudes toward reading. *Journal of Educational Research*, 89, 315–319.
- LaBerge, D., & Samuels, S. A. (1974). Toward a theory of automatic information processing in reading. *Cognitive Psychology*, 6, 293–323.
- Linnenbrink, E. A., & Pintrich, P. R. (2003). The role of self-efficacy beliefs in student engagement and learning in the classroom. *Reading & Writing Quarterly: Overcoming Learning Difficulties*, 19, 119–137.
- Lowry, L. (1993). *The giver*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Lublin, J. (2003). *Deep, surface and strategic approaches to learning*. Dublin, Ireland: University College Dublin Centre for Teaching and Learning.
- Malloy, J., Marinak, B., Gambrell, L., & Mazzoni, S. (2013). Assessing motivation to read. *Reading Teacher*, 67(4), 273–282.
- Marton, F., & Säljö, R. (1976, February). On qualitative differences in learning: 1—Outcome and process. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 46(1), pp. 4–11.
- Marzano, R. J., & Pickering, D. J. (2005). *Building academic vocabulary: Teacher's manual*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Mathisen, G. E., & Bronnick, K. S. (2009). Creative self-efficacy: An intervention study. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 48, 21–29.
- McKenna, M. C., & Kear, D. (1990). Measuring attitude toward reading: A new tool for teachers. *The Reading Teacher*, 43, 626–639.
- McNamara, D. S., & Kintsch, W. (1996). Learning from texts: Effects of prior knowledge and text coherence. *Discourse Processes*, 22, 247–282.
- Medina, A. L. (2006). Where the beginning ends: Studying leads in literature in order to write attention-getting introductions. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 50, 190–193.
- Michaels, S., O'Connor, M. C., Hall, M. W., & Resnick, L. B. (2010). *Accountable Talk® sourcebook: For classroom conversation that works* (v.3.1). Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Institute for Learning. Retrieved from <http://ifl.lrdc.pitt.edu>
- Mikaelsen, B. (1998). *Petey*. New York, NY: Hyperion Books.
- Moore, D. W., & Readence, J. E. (1984). A quantitative and qualitative review of graphic organizer research. *Journal of Educational Research*, 71(1), 11–17.
- Murphy, P. K., & Alexander, P. A. (2002). What counts? The predictive powers of subject-matter knowledge, strategic processing, and interest in domain-specific performance. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 70(3), 197–214.
- Murphy, P. K., Wilkinson, I. A. G., Soter, A. O., Hennessey, M. N., & Alexander, J. E. (2009). Examining the effects of classroom discussion on students' comprehension of text: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101(3) 740–764.
- Nagy, W. E. (1988). *Teaching vocabulary to improve reading comprehension*. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.

- Nation, K., & Hulme, C. (1997). Phonemic segmentation, not onset-rime segmentation, predicts early reading and spelling skills. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 32, 154–167.
- Nystrand, M. (2006). Research on the role of classroom discourse as it affects reading comprehension. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 40, 392–412.
- Palincsar, A. S. (2013). Reciprocal teaching. In J. Hattie & E. Anderman (Eds.), *International guide to student achievement* (pp. 369–371). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Palincsar, A. S., & Brown, A. (1984). Reciprocal teaching of comprehension-fostering and comprehension-monitoring activities. *Cognition and Instruction*, 1(2), 117–175.
- Paris, S. G. (2005). Reinterpreting the development of reading skills. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 40(2), 184–202.
- Paris, S. G., Lipson, M., & Wixson, K. (1983). Becoming a strategic reader. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 8, 293–316.
- Pauk, W., & Owens, R. J. Q. (2010). *How to study in college* (10th ed.). Boston, MA: Wadworth/Cengage Learning.
- Perkins, D. N., & Salomon, G. (1992). Transfer of learning. *International encyclopedia of education* (2nd ed.). Oxford, UK: Pergamon.
- Pew Research Center. (2013). E-reading rises as device ownership jumps. Retrieved from http://www.pewinternet.org/files/old-media//Files/Reports/2014/PIP_E-reading_011614.pdf
- Poe, E. A. (1843). The tell-tale heart. *The Pioneer*. Philadelphia, PA: James Russell Lowell.
- Priebe, S., Keenan, J., & Miller, A. (2012). How prior knowledge affects word identification and comprehension. *Reading & Writing*, 25(1), 131–149.
- Protheroe, N. (2008, May). Teacher efficacy: What is it and does it matter? *Principal*, 42–45.
- Quindlen, A. (1986, October 21). Love, hate still stew in the new melting pot. *SunSentinel*. Retrieved from http://articles.sun-sentinel.com/1986-10-21/features/8603030958_1_italians-new-jersey-restaurant
- Quindlen, A. (2001, September 26). A quilt of a country. *Newsweek*. Retrieved from <http://www.newsweek.com/quilt-country-151869>
- Richards, R. I. (1929). *Practical criticism: A study of literary judgment*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Rubie-Davies, C. M. (2015). *High and low expectation teachers: The importance of the teacher factor*. New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Ryder, R. J., Burton, J. L., & Silberg, A. (2006). Longitudinal study of direct instruction effects from first through third grade. *Journal of Educational Research*, 99(3), 179–191.
- Saki. (n.d.). The interlopers. East of the Web. Retrieved from <http://www.eastoftoheweb.com/short-stories/UBooks/Inte.shtml>
- Samuels, S. J. (1979). The method of repeated readings. *The Reading Teacher*, 4, 403–408.

- S&S Learning. (2013). A habitat is a home. *Habitats Environment Series*, Grades 4–6. Toronto, ON, Canada: On the Mark Press.
- Sapon-Shevin, M. (1994). *Playing favorites: Gifted education and the disruption of community*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Schmit, J. S. (2002). Different questions, bigger answers: Matching the scope of inquiry to students' needs. In J. Holden & J. S. Schmit (Eds.), *Inquiry and the literary text: Constructing discussion in the English classroom* (pp. 72–78). Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Schmitt, M. B. (1990). A questionnaire to measure children's awareness of strategic reading processes. *The Reading Teacher*, 43, 454–461.
- Schwartz, D. L., Chase, C. C., Oppezzo, M. A., & Chin, D. B. (2011). Practicing versus inventing with contrasting cases: The effects of telling first on learning and transfer. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 103, 759–775.
- Shuster, K., & Meany, J. (2005). *Speak out! Debate and public speaking in the middle grades*. New York, NY: International Debate Education Association.
- Smith, C. M. (1990). The relationship of adults' reading attitude to actual reading behavior. *Reading Improvement*, 27, 116–121.
- Smith, I. (2007). *Sharing learning intentions*. London, UK: Learning Unlimited.
- Smith, T. W., Baker, W. K., Hattie, J. A. C., & Bond, L. (2008). A validity study of the certification system of the National Board of Professional Teaching Standards. In L. Ingvarson & J. A. C. Hattie (Eds.), *Assessing teachers for professional certification: The first decade of the National Board of Professional Teaching Standards* (pp. 345–380). Advances in Program Evaluation Series #11. Oxford, UK: Elsevier.
- Snell, E. K., Hindman, A. H., & Wasik, B. A. (2015). How can book reading close the word gap? Five key practices from research. *Reading Teacher*, 68(7), 560–571.
- Sousa, D. A. (2011). *Educational neuroscience*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Spaulding, C. L. (1992). The motivation to read and write. In J. W. Irwin & M. A. Doyle (Eds.), *Reading/writing connections: Learning from research* (pp. 177–201). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Stahl, S. A., & Fairbanks, M. M. (1986). The effects of vocabulary instruction: A model-based meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 56(1), 72–110.
- Stanovich, K. E. (1999). *Who is rational? Studies of individual differences in reading*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Stricht, T. G., & James, J. H. (1984). Listening and reading. In P. D. Pearson, R. Barr, M. L. Kamil, & P. Mosenthal (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 1, pp. 293–317). White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Swanson, E., Hairrell, A., Kent, S., Ciullo, S., Wanzek, J. A., & Vaughn, S. (2014). A synthesis and meta-analysis of reading interventions using social studies content for students with learning disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 47(2), 178–195.
- Swanson, H. L. (1999a). Reading comprehension and working memory in learning-disabled readers: Is the phonological loop more important than the executive system? *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 72(1), 1–31.

- Swanson, H. L. (1999b). Reading research for children with LD: A meta-analysis of intervention outcomes. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 32(6), 504–532.
- Taylor, W. (1953). Cloze procedure: A new tool for measuring readability. *Journalism Quarterly*, 30, 415–433.
- Tierney, R. J., Readance, J., & Dishner, E. (1995). *Reading strategies and practices: A compendium* (4th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2005). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Topping, K. J. (2006). Building reading fluency: Cognitive, behavioural, and socio-emotional factors and the role of peer-mediated learning (pp. 106–129). In S. J. Samuels & A. E. Farstrup (Eds.), *What research has to say about reading fluency instruction*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Uomini, N. T., & Meyer, G. F. (2013). Shared brain lateralization patterns in language and acheulean stone tool production: A functional transcranial Doppler ultrasound study. *PLoS ONE*, 8(8): e72693. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072693.
- Van den Broek, P., Tzeng, Y., Risden, K., Trabasso, T., & Basche, P. (2001). Inferential questioning: Effects on comprehension of narrative texts as a function of grade and timing. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93(3), 521–529.
- Wiggins, G. (1989). The futility of trying to teach everything of importance. *Educational Leadership*, 47(3), 44–59.
- Wiggins, G. (1998). *Educative assessment: Designing assessments to inform and improve student performance*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Wilkinson, I. A. G., & Nelson, K. (2013). Role of discussion in reading comprehension. In J. Hattie & E. Anderman (Eds.), *International guide to student achievement* (pp. 299–302). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Wilkinson, P., & Patty, D. (1993). The effects of sentence combining on the reading comprehension of fourth grade students. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 27(1), 104–125.
- Winograd, P. N. (1984). Strategic difficulties in summarizing texts. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 19, 404–425.
- Wood, T. (1998). Alternative patterns of communication in mathematics classes: funneling or focusing? In H. Steinbring, M. G. Bartolini Bussi, & A. Sierpinska (Eds.), *Language and communication in the mathematics classroom* (pp. 167–78). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Wylie, R. E., & Durrell, D. D. (1970). Teaching vowels through phonograms. *Elementary English*, 47, 787–791.
- Yamada, K. (2013). *What do you do with an idea?* Seattle, WA: Compendium.
- Yopp, H. K. (1995). A test for assessing phonemic awareness in young children. *The Reading Teacher*, 49, 20–29.