Index

| Academic databases, 69, 70 (figure) Action dispositions, 10 collegiality and, 10 deliberation and, 10 patience and, 10 persistence/diligence and, 10 See also Mindset factors APA style, 151 | Backing, 108, 114, 120 Bias, 21–22, 129 Bibliographic database managers, 64 Bibliographic documentation, 64, 65 (figure), 71, 72 (figure) Boolean search, 67–68, 68 (figure), 71 Booth, W. C., 46 Brockreide, W., 114 |
|--|---|
| Argumentation tools, 6–7, 6 (figure), | Dioexiciae, W., 114 |
| 8 (figure), 37–39 | Cahill, T., 145 |
| argument, definition of, 38, 40 | Citation-linking services, 64 |
| argument validity, evaluation of, 41–43 | Citation software, 31, 64, 70 |
| arguments of advocacy and, 38, 40, | Claims, 38, 41 (figure), 42, 43–44 |
| 55, 84 | acceptable claims, criteria for, 46–47, |
| arguments of discovery and, 38, 40, | 46 (figure), 112 |
| 55, 84 | claims of concept and, 45, 45 (figure) |
| case for literature review, building | claims of fact and, 44, 45 (figure) |
| of, 39–40 | claims of interpretation and, 45, |
| claims and, 38, 41 (figure), 42, 43–47 | 45 (figure) |
| complex argument checklist and, 56 | claims of policy and, 44, 45 (figure) |
| complex arguments and, 53–55, | claims of worth and, 44, 45 (figure) |
| 53 (figure) | complex claims and, 53-55, 53 (figure) |
| evidence building and, 38, 41 (figure), | data vs. evidence and, 47–48 |
| 42, 47–50, 84 | global assertions and, 50 |
| fallacious arguments and, 11, 108, | major claims and, 53–54, 53 (figure), |
| 127–129 | 55, 101 |
| implicative argument, basic patterns of, | premises and, 53, 55 |
| 114–119, 115 (figure) | qualified claims and, 49-50 |
| inductive argument and, 40 | simple claims and, 53–54, 53 (figure) |
| logically argued cases and, 38–39, 40–41 | type of claim, selection of, 46 |
| major claims and, 53–54, 53 (figure), 55 | See also Argumentation tools; Evidence |
| persuasive argument, rules of, | building; Survey of literature |
| 40–41, 51 | Codes of ethics, 11–12 |
| premises and, 53, 55 | Colomb, G. G., 46 |
| scholarly argumentation, purpose of, 40 | Complex arguments, 53–55, 53 (figure), 56, |
| simple argument checklist and, 56 | 96–103, 99 (figure) |
| simple arguments and, 41–42, | Complex literature review, 1, 3, 4–5, |
| 41 (figure), 51–52, 51 (figure), | 4 (figure) |
| 53–55, 53 (figure) | Conclusions. <i>See</i> Critique of literature; |
| task checklist for, 56 | Review writing |
| warrants and, 38, 41 (figure), 42, 50–52 | Core idea maps, 74–75, 74 (figure), |
| See also Critique of literature; Search of | 76 (figure), 88 |
| literature; Survey of literature Auditing process, 148–149, 155 | Critical thinking process, 5, 6 (figure), 8–9, 9 (figure) |
| Author contribution map, 75, 77, | action dispositions and, 10 |
| 77 (figure), 88 | decision-making dispositions and, 10–11 |
| // (iiguic), 00 | accision making dispositions and, 10-11 |

162

intellectual dispositions and, 9-10 reflective oversight and, 12-13 See also Argumentation tools; Mindset factors Critique of literature, 6 (figure), 7, 8 (figure), 107-108 analogy argument pattern and, 115 (figure), 118–119 argument of advocacy, development of, 123–127, 124–125 (figures) arguments of advocacy and, 108, 109, 110, 110 (figure), 112, 113 (figure) arguments of discovery and, 110, 110 (figure), 114, 123, 124 (figure) authority reasoning and, 122, 126 backing and, 108, 114, 120 cause-and-effect argument pattern and, 115 (figure), 116, 122 chain-reasoning logic scheme and, 109-110, 110-111 (figures) claim acceptability, determination of, 112 claims reframing, prerequisite conditions of logic type and, 122 comparative reasoning and, 122 descriptive reasoning and, 108, 110, 112, 113 (figure), 122 divergent reasoning and, 122 effect-to-cause argument pattern and, 115 (figure), 116 fallacious arguments and, 108, 127-129 findings vs. conclusions and, 108 implicative argument, basic patterns of, 114–119, 115 (figure) implicative reasoning and, 108, 110, 112, 114 inferred implicative logic pattern, determination of, 121-122 key tasks in, 120–121, 121 (figure) literature critique, definition of, 108, 109 literature review case example and, 123–127, 124–125 (figures) literature review, making the case for, 109-110 parallel case argument pattern and, 115 (figure), 118 population-to-sample argument pattern and, 115 (figure), 117-118 premises, development of, 122 research questions, categories of, 110 sample-to-population argument pattern and, 115 (figure), 117

sign argument pattern and,
115 (figure), 116
task checklist for, 130
thesis case, soundness of, 129
thesis statement and, 108, 109,
110 (figure), 120
topic of study, inferred logic pattern
and, 121–122
See also Review writing
CrossRef service, 64

Data. See Evidence building; Search of literature; Survey of literature Decision-making dispositions, 10 circumspect thinking and, 11 reasoned/logical thinking and, 11 See also Mindset factors Descriptive reasoning, 108, 110, 112, 113 (figure) Digital networks, 64 Digital object identifiers (DOIs), 64 Digital resources, 64, 67, 68–70, 70 (figure), 88 Dispositions, 8-9, 9 (figure) action dispositions and, 10 decision-making dispositions and, 10-11 ethics disposition and, 11-12 intellectual dispositions and, 9-10 See also Mindset factors Dissertation documents and, 4, 5

EBSCO database, 69, 70 Ehninger, D., 114 EndNote software, 31, 64, 70, 71 Ethical behavior, 11–12 Evidence building, 38, 41 (figure), 42, 47, 84 appropriate data and, 49 data quality and, 48-49 data relevance and, 49 data vs. evidence and, 47-48 proximate data, proximate standard and, 49 qualified claims and, 49-50 survey process and, 6 (figure), 7, 8 (figure) See also Argumentation tools; Survey of literature

Fallacious arguments, 11, 108, 127–129 FirstSearch database, 70 Fisher, A., 90, 152

| GALE database, 69, 70 | subject-area thesauri/dictionaries |
|---|---|
| Google Scholar, 67 | and, 29 |
| Grennan, W., 114 | virtual library resources and, 30, 64, 67, 68–69, 70 (figure) |
| Hart, C., 44 | See also Search of literature; |
| 11411, C., 11 | Topic selection |
| Implicative argumentation, | |
| | Link + catalog, 69 |
| 114–115, 115 (figure) | Literature review process overview, 1–2 |
| analogy pattern and, 115 (figure), 118–119 | argumentation tools, development of, |
| | 6–7, 6 (figure), 8 (figure) |
| authority pattern and, 122, 126 | complex literature review and, 1, 3, 4–5, |
| cause-and-effect pattern and, | 4 (figure) |
| 115 (Figure), 116 | credible cases and, 6–7 |
| effect-to-cause pattern and, | critical thinking process and, 5, |
| 115 (figure), 116 | 6 (figure), 8–9, 9 (figure) |
| ends-to-means pattern and, | critique process, findings interpretation |
| 115 (figure), 119 | and, 6 (figure), 7, 8 (figure) |
| inferred logic pattern, determination of, | ethical behavior and, 11–12 |
| 121–122 | journaling, role of, 6 |
| literature review case example and, | literature review, definition of, 1, 5 |
| 123–127, 134–135 (figures) | literature review flowchart and, x-xi |
| parallel-case pattern and, 115 (figure), | mindset factors and, 8–11, 9 (figure) |
| 118 | planning process and, 13–14 |
| population-to-sample pattern and, | reflective oversight and, 1, 12–13 |
| 115 (figure), 117–118 | review writing, conclusions |
| sample-to-population pattern and, | communication/evaluation and, |
| 115 (figure), 117 | 6 (figure), 7, 8 (figure) |
| sign pattern and, 115 (figure), 116 | search process, information collection |
| See also Argumentation tools; Critique | and, 6 (figure), 7, 8 (figure) |
| of literature | simple literature review and, 2, 3–4, |
| Inductive argument, 40 | 3 (figure) |
| Intellectual dispositions, 9–10 | six-step review model and, 5-8, |
| honesty/accountability and, 10 | 6 (figure), 8 (figure) |
| independent thinking and, 10 | survey process, evidence building |
| inquisitiveness and, 9 | and, 6 (figure), 7, 8 (figure) |
| skepticism and, 9 | task checklist for, 15 |
| See also Mindset factors | thesis statements and, 2, 3 |
| Interests. See Personal interest/concern; | topic selection and, 2, 3, 6, 6 (figure), |
| Topic selection | 8 (figure) |
| Internet resources, 64, 67, 68–70, | Literature reviews: |
| 70 (figure), 88 | complex literature reviews and, 1, 3, |
| | 4–5, 4 (figure) |
| Journaling, 6 | definition of, 1, 5, 38 |
| JSTOR database, 69, 70 | planning process and, 13-14 |
| | simple literature reviews and, 2, 3–4, |
| Library reference tools, 29 | 3 (figure) |
| documentation tools and, 31 | writing of, 6 (figure), 7 |
| library use, rules for, 30–31 | See also Critical thinking process; |
| location of, 30 | Literature review process overview; |
| research librarians, role of, 30, | Review writing |
| 69, 70 | Logical reasoning, 7, 11, 84 |
| subject-area handbooks/encyclopedias | See also Decision-making dispositions; |
| and, 29 | Mindset factors; Reasoning patterns |

| Major claims, 53–54, 53 (figure), 55, 101 | Qualifiers, 49–50, 67 |
|---|--|
| Mann, T., 69 | Queries. See Research query; Topic |
| Mapping technique: | selection |
| search of literature and, 60, 62, | |
| 62 (figure), 63, 73–77, 74 (figure), | Reasoning patterns, 90 |
| 76–77 (figures) | analogy pattern and, 115 (Figure), |
| survey of literature and, 90, 96, 97–98, | 118–119 |
| 97–98 (figures), 101 | authority pattern and, 122 |
| Memoranda, 137 | cause-and-effect pattern and, |
| Mindset factors and, 8, 9 (figure) | 115 (figure), 116 |
| action dispositions and, 10 | chain reasoning and, 92–93, 93 (figure), |
| circumspect thinking and, 11 | 109–110, 110–111 (figures) |
| collegiality and, 10 | comparative reasoning and, 97–98, |
| critical thinking process and, 8–9, | 98 (figure), 122 |
| 9 (figure) | complex reasoning and, 96–98, 101, 122 |
| decision-making dispositions and, 10–11 | descriptive reasoning and, 108, 110, |
| deliberation and, 10 | 112, 113 (figure) |
| ethics disposition and, 11–12 | |
| | divergent reasoning and, 97, |
| honesty/accountability and, 10 independent thinking and, 10 | 97 (figure), 122 |
| • | effect-to-cause pattern and, 115 (figure) 116 |
| inquisitiveness and, 9 | |
| intellectual dispositions and, 9–10 | ends-to-means pattern and, 112, 114, |
| patience and, 10 | 115 (figure), 119 |
| persistence/diligence and, 10 | implicative reasoning and, 108, 110, 112 |
| reasoned/logical thinking and, 11 | 114–119, 115 (figure) |
| reflective oversight and, 12–13 | joint reasoning and, 93–94, 94 (figure) |
| self-assessment and, 12 | one-on-one reasoning and, 90–91, |
| self-correction and, 13 | 91 (figure) |
| self-regulation and, 12 | parallel-case pattern and, |
| skepticism and, 10 | 115 (figure), 118 |
| See also Literature review process | population-to-sample pattern and, |
| overview; Reasoning patterns | 115 (figure), 117–118 |
| MLA style, 151 | rule of logic and, 51, 55, 114, |
| | 115 (figure), 120 |
| Online public access | sample-to-population pattern and, |
| catalogs (OPACs), 69 | 115 (figure), 117 |
| Online resources, 64, 67, 68–70, | side-by-side reasoning and, 91, |
| 70 (figure), 88 | 92 (figure), 101 |
| The Oxford Guide to Library Research, 69 | sign pattern and, 115 (figure), 116 |
| | See also Critique of literature; Mindset |
| Personal dispositions. See Dispositions; | factors; Survey of literature |
| Mindset factors | Reference tools. See Library reference |
| Personal interest/concern, 18, 19, 21, | tools; Search of literature |
| 23–24, 25, 27 | Reflective oversight, 1, 12 |
| Perspectives, 24–25 | self-assessment and, 12 |
| See also Topic selection | self-correction and, 13 |
| Plagiarism, 11 | self-regulation and, 12 |
| Preliminary topics, 18, 28, 28 (figure), | See also Literature review process |
| 63, 79 | overview; Mindset factors |
| Premises, 53, 55, 122 | RefWorks software, 31, 64, 70 |
| Problem solving. See Argumentation tools | Research query, 18, 19 (figure), 22-26 |
| development | research query-academic discipline |
| ProOuest database, 69, 70 | linkage and, 27–31 |

research query statement, development Scrivener software, 88 of, 25-27 Search of literature, 6 (figure), See also Topic selection 7, 8 (figure), 59–60 Review writing, 6 (figure), 7, 8 (figure), author contribution map and, 75, 77, 77 (figure) 133 - 134background statement and, 142, bibliographic documentation and, 64, 143-144 (figures) 65 (figure), 71, 72 (figure) body section and, 142-145 Boolean search and, 67-68, context section and, 142 68 (figure), 71 drafts, evolution of, 145, 147, 148-155 categories of information, varying sources of, 66-67, 66-67 (figures) editing/revision, iterative process of, 136, 136 (figure), 145, 147, 154–155 core idea map and, 74-75, 74 (figure), exploratory writing and, 137-139 76 (figure) final drafts, writing/auditing of, 155 data collection/selection and, 62-78 guidelines for writing and, 155–156 data management tasks and, 63-64, introduction sections and, 141-142 65 (figure) literature review format and, 141-145 Internet/virtual library resources and, literature review, major sections of, 145, 64, 67, 68-69, 70 (figure) key tasks of, 60, 61 (figure) 146–147 (figure) literature for review, selection of, 61-62 literature survey tally matrix and, 142, 143 (figure) literature search, definition of, 60 notes/memoranda, review of, 137 mapping technique and, 60, 62, opening section and, 141 62 (figure), 63, 73–77, 74 (figure), organization section and, 142 76–77 (figures) outlines, development of, 139-141, 148 online resources and, 64, 67, 68-70, outside review of drafts and, 153-154 70 (figure) preliminary topic statement, refinement preliminary drafts, audit/edit processes and, 148-151 of, 78-80 problem statement and, 142 progress scan and, 63, 71 reference databases, varying literature proofreading task and, 140 readers/editors, role of, 11, 153-154 types and, 66-67, 67 (figure) reference section formatting and, 64 research librarians, role of, 69, 70 research case for literature review scanning process and, 60, 62-63, model and, 144 (figure), 145 62 (figure), 65-71 review document, functions of, 134 search directories and, 67 significance/needs statement and, 142 skimming process and, 60, 62, 62 (figure), 63, 71-73 study's conclusion section and, 142 style manuals and, 151-152 task checklist for, 81 summation section and, 145 written notes/memoranda and, table of contents, creation of, 140 70, 71, 77 thesis argument, development of, See also Critique of literature; 142, 144 Survey of literature topic section and, 142 Simple arguments, 41–42, 41 (figure), writing for understanding and, 136-150 51–52, 51 (figure), 53–55, 53 (figure) writing process, overview of, 135-136, Simple literature review, 2, 3–4, 3 (figure) Six-step review model, 5-8, 6 (figure), 135–136 (figures) writing readiness, creation of, 137–138 8 (figure) Skimming process, 60, 62, 62 (figure), writing to be understood and, 150-155 Rule of logic, 51, 52, 114, 115 (figure), 120 63, 71-73 Software tools, 31, 64, 70, 71 SAGE e-reference database, 69 Spaaze software, 88 Scanning process, 60, 62–63, 62 (figure), Storyboard techniques, 88 Strunk, W., Jr., 149 65 - 71

| Style manuals, 151–152 | perspective, selection of, 24-25 |
|---|---|
| Subjects. See Topic selection | preliminary topic statements and, 18, |
| Survey of literature, 6 (figure), 7, 83–85 | 28, 28 (figure), 63, 79 |
| argument of advocacy and, 84 | reflective approach and, 25–26 |
| argument of discovery and, 84, 85, 96, 98–101, 99–100 (figures), | research interest, focusing of, 22–23 research queries and, 18, 22–27 |
| 102 (figure) | research query-academic discipline |
| claims building, information | linkage, establishment of, 27–31 |
| organization and, 94–96, 95 (figure) | research query statement, development |
| coding process and, 86, 88, 90 | of, 25–27 |
| comparative reasoning/mapping and, | research topic statements, creation of, |
| 97–98, 98 (figure) | 18, 19 (figure), 32–33 |
| complex reasoning/complex arguments | researcher bias and, 21–22 |
| and, 96–103, 99 (figure) | specialized academic vocabulary and, |
| data assembly task and, 86-88 | 27–28 |
| data cataloging task and, 86–88, 87 (figure) | subjects for study, identification of, 18, 19–22, 19 (figure) |
| data organization and, 88–96 | task checklist for, 34 |
| data pattern analysis and, 96–103 | theoretical debates, weighing into, 20 |
| divergent reasoning/mapping and, | See also Argumentation tools; Search of |
| 97, 97 (figure), 101 | literature |
| evidence building process and, 84, | Toulmin, S., 120 |
| 88–90, 89 (figure) | Trimmer, J. F., 134 |
| exploratory draft, writing of, 96 | Turabian style, 151 |
| key tasks of, 85, 85 (figure) | , |
| mapping technique and, 90, 96, 97–98, 97–98 (figures), 101 | Uniform resource locators (URLs), 64 |
| reasoning patterns and, 84, 90–94, 101 | Venn diagram, 98, 98 (figure), 112 |
| reporting function in software programs | |
| and, 86 | Warrants, 38, 41 (figure), 42, 50–51 |
| storyboard techniques, data | backing and, 108, 114, 120 |
| classification and, 88 | complex arguments, role in, |
| task checklist for, 104-105 | 53–54, 53 (figure) |
| Venn diagramming and, 98, 98 (figure) | definition of, 51 |
| warranting schemes and, 97-98, | evidence-conclusion |
| 97–98 (figures) | linkage and, 51 |
| See also Critique of literature; Search | persuasive argument and, 51 |
| of literature | rule of logic, reasoned conclusions and, 51, 52, 114, 115 (figure), 120 |
| Thesis statement, 2, 3, 108, 109, | simple arguments, role in, 51–52, |
| 110 (figure), 120 | 51 (figure) |
| Topic selection, 2, 3, 6, 6 (figure), | See also Argumentation tools |
| 8 (figure), 17–18 | Web browsers, 64, 67 |
| emotions/curiosity and, 18 | White, E. B., 149 |
| expert knowledge, role of, 19 | Williams, J. M., 46 |
| interests, refinement/limiting of, | WORLDCAT database, 69, 70 |
| 23–24, 25 | Writing process: |
| journal articles and, 20 | writing for understanding and, |
| library reference tools and, 29–31 | 136–150 |
| media/association reports and, 20 | writing to be understood and, |
| personal interest/concern and, | 150–155 |
| 18, 19, 21, 27 | See also Review writing |