

## **RUBRIC QUALITY REVIEW WORKSHEET**



Assessment/Task:	
Date: Reviewer(s):	
Date. Reviewer(s).	
Questions for Evaluating Rubric Quality	Comments or Feedback for Each Review Question
1. Do the number of performance levels and rubric format make sense?	
☐ Format matches purpose and use	
$\ \square$ Adjacent performance levels are qualitatively different	
$\hfill \Box$ Levels reflect how completion of the task might naturally progress with instruction	
2. Is descriptive language maximized?	
$\square$ Little or no judgmental language	
Avoids use of subjective language (poor, neat, ample, etc.) and frequency indicators (rarely, often, etc.)	
3. Do descriptors emphasize quality over quantity?	
(e.g., relevant, descriptive details or sources versus three details or sources)	
4. Do descriptors state performance in the positive—what IS happening, rather than what is NOT happening?	
$\square$ Lowest levels focus on beginning stages	
$\square$ Describes a (real) progression of learning	
☐ Have student work samples or piloting informed performance descriptions?	
5. Do descriptors describe excellent rather than perfect performance?	
$\hfill \square$ Describes a progression from Novice to Expert performance	
$\hfill \square$ Performance descriptors increase with depth, complexity, and/or breadth of knowledge	
$\hfill \square$ Minor errors not weighted more than quality of ideas or thinking	
6. Do rubric language and criteria match rigor expectations of task?	
☐ A range of criteria align with task expectations (form, accuracy, process, impact, construction of knowledge)	
☐ Not limited to basic skills and concepts or only routine tasks	
At least one criterion builds to transfer and construction of knowledge or deeper understanding	
7. Is the language kid friendly?	
☐ Could this be used for peer- and self-assessment?	
☐ Have students had input into the writing or refinement of performance level descriptions?	
ADDITIONAL NOTES	